

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/532,792	04/25/2005	Kusuki Nishioka	123598	6632
25944	7590 02/02/2006		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			SALIMI, ALI REZA	
	IA, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,		1648	

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/532,792	NISHIOKA, KUSUKI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		A R. Salimi	1648			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 I	November 2005				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
′—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
יייו	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notic	(PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/25/2005</u> . 6) Other:						

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1648.

Response to Amendment

The receipt of preliminary amendment of 4/25/2005 is acknowledged. Claims 4, 5, 9, 10, 14-15 have been amended. Claims 1-15 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 6-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 provides for the use of "an extract", but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced. This affects dependent claims 7-10.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products*, *Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966). This affects dependent claims 7-10.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,792

Art Unit: 1648

Claims 7-10 provide for the use of "the use", but, since the claim does not set forth any

Page 3

steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to

encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps

delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use,

without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a

process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for

example Ex parte Dunki, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner,

255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim 11 is vague and indefinite for recitation of "medicinal composition", the intended

metes and bounds of the "composition" is not defined. The claims has been interpreted in light

of the specification, and the specification only shows an extract from inflamed tissue inoculated

with vaccinia as an ingredient. The elements that form the "composition" is/are missing. This

affects dependent claims 12-15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsuoka et al

Application/Control Number: 10/532,792

Art Unit: 1648

(Nippon Yakurigaku Zasshi, 1987, Vol. 90, No. 1, pages 67-71, abstract only).

Matsuoka et al taught isolated extract of inflamed tissue wherein rabbits skins were inoculated with vaccinia virus (see the abstract). The extract product now claimed is known in the prior art. Applicants are reminded that the Patent Office does not have facilities to perform physical comparisons between the claimed product and similar prior art products. Moreover, if the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). The claiming of a new use, new function or unknown property which is inherently present in the prior art does not necessarily make the claim patentable. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1254, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshi et al (Int. J. Immunopharmacology, 1995, Vol. 17, No. 11, pages 879-886).

Yoshi et al taught a non-protein extract containing biologically active substances from inflamed cutaneous tissue of rabbits inoculated with vaccine virus (see page 879, right column). The extract product now claimed is known in the prior art. Applicants are reminded that the Patent Office does not have facilities to perform physical comparisons between the claimed product and similar prior art products. Moreover, if the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). The claiming of a new use, new function or unknown property which is inherently present in the prior art does not necessarily make the claim patentable. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1254, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).

Application/Control Number: 10/532,792

Art Unit: 1648

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shibayama et al (US Patent No. 5,057,324).

The product taught and claimed by the above cited patent reads on the product now claimed (see Column 2, lines 56-68, and claims 1, 8). The claiming of a new use, new function or unknown property which is inherently present in the prior art does not necessarily make the claim patentable. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1254, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).

Subject Matter Free of Prior art

Claims 11-15 are deemed free of prior art, given failure of the prior art to teach or reasonably suggest the extract inflamed tissue inoculated with vaccine virus to be used in a method of treating fibromyalgia.

No claims are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. R. Salimi whose telephone number is (571) 272-0909. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 Am to 6:00 Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel, can be reached on (571) 272-0902. The Official fax number is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 1648

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. R. Salimi

1/31/2006

ALL R. SALIMINER PRIMARY EXAMINER